(a) placing microbes, adapted to digest hydrocarbons, into at least the core of a floater

formed of a porous polymeric foam and adapted to float in or on the surface of the body of water,

(b) placing the floater containing the microbes into a body of water containing

hydrocarbons,

(c) allowing the hydrocarbons to penetrate the floater and to contact the microbes, and

(d) allowing the microbes within the floater to digest the hydrocarbons.

The present invention as recited in claim 24, as amended, relates to a hydrocarbon

bioremediation system for removing hydrocarbons from a body of water, comprising:

(a) a floater formed of a porous polymeric foam and adapted to float in or on the surface

of the body of water, and

(b) microbes located at least within the core of the floater, adapted to digest the

hydrocarbons.

Support for the amendments to claims 2, 11 and 24 includes the Figures showing the

invention.

The Office Action

The Examiner indicates in paragraph 4 of the Office Action that the claims do not require

that the microbes be located throughout the floater, and therefore in the core area as well as the

outer region of the floater. The Examiner further states that the language "within the floater" or

"into the floater" does not specify the core, or any specific part of the floater.

Distinctions Between the Present Invention and the Prior Art

In view of the Examiner's comments, claims 2, 11 and 24 are amended to recite that the

microbes are located at least in the core of the floater, thereby overcoming the rejection. Since

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

10

Application No. 10/602,281

Amendment filed on July 5, 2006

Final Office Action April 4, 2006

this amendment places the claims into immediate condition for allowance, the Examiner is

requested to enter the amendment without requiring the filing of an RCE. The amendments to the

claims do not raise a new issue or require further search or examination.

In summary, the prior art does not teach microbes located at least within the core of the

floater. This is especially true since the prior art expressly teaches away from locating microbes

within the floater core. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the above amendment, Applicants believe that the pending application is in

condition for allowance.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension

of time fees.

Dated: July 5, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Marc S. Weiner

Registration No.: 32,181

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 5007-0101PUS4

8110 Gatehouse Rd

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

11